

Purpose and Summary

Pursuant to Executive Order 10, the Authority proposes to rescind Chapters 413, 415, and 416. Chapter 413 describes the policies and procedures applicable to the Preservation Partnership Program, which has been discontinued. Chapter 415 describes the policies and procedures applicable to the Technical Assistance Program. Relevant content relating to technical assistance is proposed to be incorporated into new 261—Chapter 410 as part of a concurrent rulemaking (**RA 26-121**, IAB 6/24/26). Chapter 416 describes the policies and procedures of the State Register of Historic Places. Relevant content relating to the State Register of Historic Places is proposed to be incorporated into 261—Chapter 412 as part of a concurrent rulemaking (**RA 26-122**, IAB 6/24/26).

Analysis of Impact

1. Persons affected by the proposed rulemaking:

- **Classes of persons that will bear the costs of the proposed rulemaking:**

The rescission of Chapters 413, 415, and 416 does not impose any costs.

- **Classes of persons that will benefit from the proposed rulemaking:**

Rescinding chapters for programs and activities that have been discontinued will provide clarity about the responsibilities of the Authority.

2. Impact of the proposed rulemaking, economic or otherwise, including the nature and amount of all the different kinds of costs that would be incurred:

- **Quantitative description of impact:**

The rescission of Chapters 413, 415, and 416 does not impose any costs.

- **Qualitative description of impact:**

Rescinding chapters for programs and activities that have been discontinued will provide clarity about the responsibilities of the Authority.

3. Costs to the State:

- **Implementation and enforcement costs borne by the agency or any other agency:**

None.

- **Anticipated effect on State revenues:**

None.

4. Comparison of the costs and benefits of the proposed rulemaking to the costs and benefits of inaction:

The rescission of Chapters 413, 415, and 416 does not impose any costs.

5. Determination whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rulemaking:

The Authority has not identified any less costly or less intrusive methods.

6. Alternative methods considered by the agency:

- **Description of any alternative methods that were seriously considered by the agency:**

The Authority did not consider other methods.

- **Reasons why alternative methods were rejected in favor of the proposed rulemaking:**

The Authority did not consider other methods.

Small Business Impact

If the rulemaking will have a substantial impact on small business, include a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable to do any of the following to reduce the impact of the rulemaking on small business:

- Establish less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rulemaking for small business.
- Establish less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rulemaking for compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Consolidate or simplify the rulemaking's compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards in the rulemaking for small business.
- Exempt small business from any or all requirements of the rulemaking.

If legal and feasible, how does the rulemaking use a method discussed above to reduce the substantial impact on small business?

The rescission of chapters does not have an impact on small business.

Text of Proposed Rulemaking

ITEM 1. Rescind and reserve **261—Chapter 413**.

ITEM 2. Rescind and reserve **261—Chapter 415**.

ITEM 3. Rescind and reserve **261—Chapter 416**.