

Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2026)

Department Name:	IEDA	Date:	6/10/26	Total Rule Count:	2
IAC #:	261	Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):	Ch. 416	Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:	15.121
Contact Name:	Reid Nennig	Email:	Reid.Nennig@IowaFinance.com	Phone:	(515) 452-0418

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

The intended benefit of Chapter 416 is to provide formal recognition of properties that are of historical significance to the State of Iowa.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

No. The content in the chapter that is relevant to the current administration of the state register of historic places can be added to the chapter relating to the national register of historic places (261—Chapter 412).

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

Entities applying for recognition may require staff time to complete an application. Some applicants and recipients may choose to rely on an external service provider to complete these tasks. The amount of the costs will vary, depending on the compensation of staff or service providers involved.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

IEDA staff time is required to review and prepare applications for approval, and communicate with applicants.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Yes. Only entities and individuals that will potentially benefit from the program incur any costs. The costs to the state to administer the program are proportional to the activities supported.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? YES NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

The application and administrative requirements of the rules are no more than necessary to implement the purposes of the program.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

Yes. The content in the chapter that is relevant to the current administration of the state register of historic places can be added to the chapter relating to the national register of historic places (261—Chapter 412).

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

The chapter should be rescinded.

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

None.

****For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.***

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	2
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	57
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	1

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

No.