Purpose and Summary

Pursuant to Executive Order 10, the Authority proposes to permanently rescind the following obsolete and unnecessary chapters:

- Chapter 2, which describes the policies and procedures applicable to provide origination and servicing of mortgage and temporary loans from the Authority. The chapter does not apply to any current loan programs administered by the Authority.
- Chapter 4, which describes the policies and procedures applicable to issue revenue bonds for any of the purposes for which financing is authorized under Iowa Code chapter 16. The chapter does not apply to current bond issues by the Authority.
- Chapter 30, which describes the policies and procedures that allocate authority to issue up to a specified amount of qualified midwestern disaster area bonds. The chapter is no longer applicable. The subject bonds ceased to be available in 2012.
- Chapter 32, which describes the policies and procedures applicable to the Authority's responsibilities as to the Iowa Jobs Program. The program has been discontinued.
- Chapter 37, which describes the policies and procedures of the recovery zone bond allocation and compliance. The chapter is no longer applicable. Iowa Code section 16.171, which authorized the rules, was repealed in 2014.
- Chapter 38, which describes the policies and procedures applicable to the Authority's responsibilities as to the Iowa Jobs II Program. The program has been discontinued.

Analysis of Impact

- 1. Persons affected by the proposed rulemaking:
- Classes of persons that will bear the costs of the proposed rulemaking:

The rescission of Chapters 2, 4, 30, 37, and 38 does not impose any costs.

Classes of persons that will benefit from the proposed rulemaking:

Rescinding chapters for programs and activities that have been discontinued will provide clarity about the responsibilities of the Authority. Rescinding chapters where there is no statutory requirement for the Authority to promulgate rules will reduce unnecessary rules and streamline the Authority's ongoing rule review.

- 2. Impact of the proposed rulemaking, economic or otherwise, including the nature and amount of all the different kinds of costs that would be incurred:
 - Ouantitative description of impact:

The rescission of Chapters 2, 4, 30, 32, 37, and 38 does not impose any costs.

• Qualitative description of impact:

Rescinding chapters for programs and activities that have been discontinued will provide clarity about the responsibilities of the Authority. Rescinding chapters where there is no statutory requirement for the Authority to promulgate rules will reduce unnecessary rules and streamline the Authority's ongoing rule review.

- 3. Costs to the State:
- Implementation and enforcement costs borne by the agency or any other agency:

Authority staff time is utilized to administer current loan programs and issue bonds according to the Authority's current authority.

• Anticipated effect on state revenues:

The rescission of chapters has no fiscal impact.

4. Comparison of the costs and benefits of the proposed rulemaking to the costs and benefits of inaction:

The rescission of Chapters 2, 4, 30, 32, 37, and 38 does not impose any costs. Rescinding chapters for programs and activities that have been discontinued will provide clarity about the responsibilities of the Authority. Rescinding chapters where there is no statutory requirement for the Authority to promulgate rules will reduce unnecessary rules and streamline the Authority's ongoing rule review.

5. Determination whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rulemaking:

The Authority has not identified less costly methods or less intrusive methods.

- 6. Alternative methods considered by the agency:
- Description of any alternative methods that were seriously considered by the agency:

The Authority did not consider any alternative methods.

• Reasons why alternative methods were rejected in favor of the proposed rulemaking: The Authority did not consider any alternative methods.

Small Business Impact

If the rulemaking will have a substantial impact on small business, include a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable to do any of the following to reduce the impact of the rulemaking on small business:

- Establish less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rulemaking for small business.
- Establish less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rulemaking for compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Consolidate or simplify the rulemaking's compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards in the rulemaking for small business.
 - Exempt small business from any or all requirements of the rulemaking.

If legal and feasible, how does the rulemaking use a method discussed above to reduce the substantial impact on small business?

The rescission of chapters does not have a substantial impact on small business.

Text of Proposed Rulemaking

- Item 1. Rescind and reserve 265—Chapter 2.
- Item 2. Rescind and reserve **265—Chapter 4**.
- Item 3. Rescind and reserve **265—Chapter 30**.
- Item 4. Rescind and reserve 265—Chapter 32.
- Item 5. Rescind and reserve 265—Chapter 37.
- Item 6. Rescind and reserve 265—Chapter 38.