

Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2025)

Department Name:	IEDA	Date:	12/14/23	Total Rule Count:	2
IAC #:	261	Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):	Chapter # 189	Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:	Chapter 15
Contact Name:	Lisa Connell	Email:	Lisa.connell@iowaeda.com	Phone:	(515) 348-6163

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

To establish standard annual report requirements for multiple IEDA programs, so such programs could be administered as consistently as possible.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

No. All programs to which the chapter applies, except the high quality jobs program, have been repealed and have no open award agreements. IEDA intends to include the applicable requirements in Chapter 68 relating to the high quality jobs program when that chapter is repromulgated.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

Participants in IEDA programs require staff time to complete annual reports. Some businesses may also choose to rely on an external service provider, such as an accountant or attorney, to complete reports. The amount of the costs will vary, depending on the compensation of such staff or service provider. Minimal time is required to complete annual reports.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

IEDA staff time is required to review reports and communicate with program recipients about reports.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Yes. Only businesses that benefit from the programs incur any costs. The costs to the state to review reports are proportional to the business activities incented and are partially offset by the compliance costs fees are imposed pursuant to Iowa Code section 15.330(12).

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? YES NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

The annual report requirements are no more than necessary to implement the statutory framework for the applicable programs.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

Yes, the chapter as a whole will be obsolete when the applicable requirements are incorporated into Chapter 68 relating to the high quality jobs program.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

189.1
189.2

***RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION* (list rule number[s] or include text if available):**

None. The portions of the chapter relevant to the high quality jobs program will be incorporated into chapter 68.

****For rules being re-promulgated with changes, please attach a document with suggested changes.***

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	2
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	131
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	11

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

No.