

Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2025)

Department Name:	IEDA	Date:	6/7/24	Total Rule Count:	3
IAC #:	261	Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):	Chapter# 15	Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:	2021 Iowa Acts, House File 871 and 2022 Iowa Acts, House File 2564
Contact Name:	Lisa Connell	Email:	Lisa.connell@iowaeda.com	Phone:	(515) 348-6163

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

The intended benefit of chapter 15 is to establish criteria for the distribution of funds appropriated to the authority for the STEMBEST program.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

No, all funds have been transferred to the program administrator, the Department of Education (formerly the University of Northern Iowa).

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

None.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

None.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Not applicable.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? YES NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

Not applicable.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or un-necessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

Yes, the chapter is obsolete.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

261.15.1
261.15.2
261.15.3

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

None.

*For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	3
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	233
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	3

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

No.